International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology and Science
ISSN: 2321-1156 www.ijirts.org Volume 12 Issue 2, March 2024

Comprehensive Review of Soil Stabilization through Reinforcement Methods in Civil Engineering

Zen Pravin Raut, Manendra Pratap Verma
Department of Civil Engineering, Madhyanchal Professional University, Bhopal, India
zen.raut55562@gmail.com, dr.mpverma@mpu.ac.in

Abstract- This thorough review provides an in-depth analysis of soil stabilisation employing reinforcement techniques in civil engineering. The paper analyses the development of this method across time, highlighting its importance in contemporary building methods. The use of fibre-reinforced soil, geogrids, geotextiles, soil nailing, and other reinforcement techniques is covered in detail, emphasising their particular applications. We examine the effects of soil characteristics, geosynthetic characteristics, and environmental factors on reinforced soil stabilisation. The benefits and drawbacks of this strategy are also carefully analysed. This review is a great resource for engineers, researchers, and professionals on geotechnical research projects.

Keywords- Soil Stabilisation, Civil Engineering, Reinforcement Methods, Chemical Additives, Mechanical Stabilisation

1. INTRODUCTION

An approach used in civil engineering to enhance the qualities of soil and make it better suited for construction is soil stabilisation via reinforcing. This procedure entails using several products or methods to improve the soil's shear strength, load-bearing capacity, and general stability [1]. The idea of stabilising soil has its roots in antiquity when cultures like the Romans and Egyptians employed substances like lime, pozzolans, and animal blood to enhance the qualities of the soil. Understanding soil mechanics as a discipline took much longer to develop than the early techniques, mostly based on practical data. In the 18th and 19th centuries, the formal study of soil behaviour and mechanics began [2]. Karl von Terzaghi and Arthur Casagrande are two well-known individuals who made major contributions to the science of soil mechanics. In the middle of the 20th century, the idea of utilising reinforcement for soil stability became more popular. It was partly motivated by the demand for affordable, long-lasting solutions for building on difficult soils. Compaction, pre-consolidation, drainage, and other procedures are all included in the soil stabilisation process. The composition of the soil, however, is what has the biggest impact on soil stabilisation. In the field, pure sands and pure clays behave differently. Their presence aids the strength and hardness of sandy, granular particles larger than 75 microns, but since they lack cohesive and binding qualities, they are prone to erosion on unstable slopes [3].

In contrast, clay soils with particles smaller than 75 microns have a strong binding force but weak shear strength, especially when saturated. While causing problems for clay soils, it gives sandy soils an apparent cohesiveness. A soil with excellent engineering qualities is often produced by combining these two soil types in the right ratios. With or without using admixtures, such as cement, lime, or lime-pozzolana, soil stabilisation can be accomplished [4]. Making the soil suitable for particular construction jobs may also entail using geotextiles or reinforcing with strips.

2. FUNDAMENTALS OF SOIL REINFORCEMENT

In simple terms, soil reinforcement is a geo-engineering method employed to enhance the stiffness and strength of soil. This approach is particularly valuable in regions characterised by soft soil where traditional building support may prove insufficient. It is utilised when the soil is fragile and susceptible to deformation. The underlying principle of reinforced soil revolves around maintaining equilibrium in loaded soil by introducing a material that transmits tensile force, thereby reducing the lateral stress required [5]. When subjected to vertical loads, the soil deforms laterally due to compression. To counter this lateral distortion, horizontal layers of reinforcement apply opposing forces. It's crucial to note that lateral strain affects the tensile force within the reinforcing element. Geotechnical engineering has a growing emphasis on using sustainable and renewable materials. Plant-based fibre soil reinforcement represents a cost-effective, environmentally friendly technique with excellent repeatability and accessibility [6]. When combined with reinforcement materials, soil gains considerable strength, similar to reinforced concrete. It can be achieved by randomly incorporating fibres into the soil or through organised reinforcing integration. While soil reinforcement techniques have ancient roots, Henry Vidal's innovations in 1966 significantly propelled its application in contemporary civil engineering. Galvanised steel strips or geosynthetic sheets are commonly used for reinforcement, with metal strips often preferred in civil engineering scenarios due to their lower extensibility than geosynthetic materials [7]. Early practitioners of soil reinforcing techniques utilised simple materials combined with soil to create basic reinforced soil compounds, a practice that has evolved significantly in modern geotechnical engineering. Modern geosynthetics such as geomembranes and geocells have replaced traditional materials like natural textiles and steel bars [8]. These materials boast straightforward production and transportation procedures, being lightweight, cost-effective, and easy to handle. Polymeric geosynthetics have revolutionised geotechnical engineering, with soil reinforcement offering benefits such as increased tensile strength and shear resistance through friction at the reinforcement's surfaces.

Consequently, geosynthetics have surged in various geotechnical structures like foundations, dams, and retaining walls. When designing shallow foundations, two key considerations are bearing capacity and settling. While soil compressibility primarily influences the latter, soil strength predominantly impacts the former [9]. Geosynthetic reinforcement can enhance bearing capacity and mitigate settling in poor soils. The analysis of reinforced foundations includes assessing the potential for the geosynthetic reinforcement's pullout and rupture (breakout) failures

3. TYPES OF REINFORCEMENT METHODS

Various techniques are used to stabilise soil by reinforcing it. Geotextiles [10], geogrids [11], and geocells [12] are examples of materials used for mechanical reinforcement that are inserted beneath the soil to disperse loads and increase its carrying capacity. Chemical reinforcement improves the characteristics of soil and creates a durable matrix by combining it with chemicals like lime [13], cement [14], fly ash [15], or bitumen [16]. Utilising vegetation with strong root systems in which biological reinforcement holds soil particles together and prevents erosion. For synergistic effects, hybrid systems [17] integrate various reinforcing techniques.

Additionally, piling and anchoring techniques may give the soil stability and support. After a complete site investigation and engineering assessment, the best approach must be selected. These criteria include the soil type, project needs, budget, and environmental issues.

Reinforcement with Geogrids- Geogrids are frequently used to strengthen soil with geotechnical problems and increase its bearing capacity. The research work [18] presented a circular foundation on soil reinforced with geogrid sheets modelled using the finite element method. It looks into how geogrid layers affect the soil's ability to support weight. According to simulation data, geogrid parameter optimisation can boost the bearing capacity ratio (BCR) by up to 50%. The author discusses the difficulties faced by expansive subgrades in [19], which are renowned for generating pavement stresses and failures due to their propensity for swelling and contracting. It investigates the effects of geogrid reinforcement and polypropylene (PP) fibre combination on these subgrades. With the addition of PP fibre and geogrid, the shear strength increased from 55.43 kPa to 154 kPa. The best results were obtained using biaxial and triaxial geogrid combined with a PP fibre concentration of 0.50%. In addition, the geogrid and PP fibre added to the reinforced portion boosted its unconfined compressive strength, which rose from 139.7624 kPa to 335 kPa in the reinforced section compared to the unreinforced part. An embankment supported by geogrid-encased stone columns and resting on extremely soft soil is the subject of the investigation in [20]. A rigorous numerical analysis investigates the effect of encasement factors, such as length and stiffness, on the system's time-dependent behaviour. Partial encasement performs worse than full encasement, and increasing the rigidity of the geogrid above 5000 kN/m does not significantly improve. The research goal is to evaluate the strength and bearing capacity of laterite soil stabilised with waterglass-activated zeolite and reinforced with geogrid [21]. At curing intervals of 0, 7, 14, and 28 days, various zeolite (4-20%) and water glass (2-6%) mixes were put to the test. Based on the findings of the California bearing test (CBR) and compressive strength (UCS), the ideal composition was found. After seven days of treatment, the study discovered a substantial increase in UCS. Compared to untreated soil, CBR values increased with increasing additive contents and longer curing durations.

Geotextile Reinforcement- Geosynthetics are manufactured materials that strengthen soil by increasing its tensile strength, bearing capacity, and permeability and preventing settlement. The wraparound reinforcing approach has been studied recently in [22] to improve the load-bearing capacity and load-settlement characteristics of a sand bed beneath a strip footing. In this method, the foundation is built utilising variable land widths while using a geotextile reinforcement that always has the same width. Compared to models without wraparound ends, the fully wrapped models demonstrated the most substantial improvement, with a 50% increase in bearing capacity and a 50% decrease in the land area occupied by the reinforcement. According to the research published in [23], clayey soil can be strengthened by utilising geotextiles, particularly thermally bonded nonwoven (NW) and needle-punched nonwoven (SNW) geotextiles. The findings showed that thermally bonded nonwoven geotextiles increased the bearing capacity of reinforced soils. The study in [24] used experimental and numerical analyses to determine how geotextile reinforcement affected the performance of the subgrade. Due to their greater tensile strength, woven geotextiles were found to function better than nonwoven ones. For effective subgrade reinforcement, the number and positioning of geotextile layers are key factors. In sandy soil, a single layer of woven geotextile placed at H/2 from the top provided the greatest CBR improvement of 27%. In the study published in [25], two types of calcareous soils from Hormuz Island and Boushehr Port along the Persian Gulf were evaluated for the efficiency of geotextile reinforcement. Monotonic compression triaxial tests were performed at various effective confining pressures (100 to 600 kPa) on reconstituted samples with and without geotextile layers. The maximum deviatoric stress increased by around 138% and 40% for HI sand and 116% and 38% for BP sand, respectively, for samples reinforced with three layers of geotextile and consolidated under 100 and 600 kPa.

Soil nailing is frequently employed as a reinforcement to increase the stability of steep slopes and vertical cuttings. The technique of transferring resistant tensile forces created in the nails into the ground through friction or adhesion activated at the interfaces determines the stability of nailed slopes. The friction between the nail and the soil stops ground movement. Numerous variables, including the slope geometry, the nail characteristics, the interaction between the soil and the nails, etc., affect how stable a nailed slope is. The stability analysis of slopes strengthened with soil nailing is covered in the work [26]. The ideal nail inclination ranges between 0 and 25 degrees depending on the slope geometry. Longer nails decrease lateral slope movement and improve FS up to a point (L/H = 0.9). The lowest row experiences the highest nail forces, which rise with depth. Overall, soil nailing can increase FS by 29-75% with the right settings, demonstrating its usefulness. A stability analysis approach for an overburden dump at SonepurBazari opencast mine is examined in another paper [27] by the author.

In comparison to the Finite Element Method using the strength reduction technique, it is discovered that the standard Limit Equilibrium Method overestimates stability. The dump has an ideal slope angle of 30 and is critically stable (FoS = 0.92). For different dump heights, bench provision raises FoS by 27%, 21%, and 19%, whereas soil nailing increases stability by 11%. Slope instability in Indian Railways, a crucial concern in construction, is addressed in the study conducted in [28]. Under static and seismic situations, the Finite Difference Method (FDM) and Limit Equilibrium Method (LEM) are applied to assess typical slopes. In order to maintain stability under static and seismic circumstances, respectively, a minimum Factor of Safety (FOS) of 1.5 and 1.1 is taken into account. A parametric investigation identified the ideal nail parameters (length: 0.83 H, inclination: 15°) employed to stabilise the soil. Results from the two methods are comparable, with LEM indicating a little greater FOS than FDM.

Fibre-Reinforced Soil- Natural fibres are used in geotechnical engineering projects to stabilise embankments and minimise surface erosion since they are inexpensive, readily available locally, and environmentally acceptable. The research [29] examines the tensile strength characteristics of soil reinforced with polypropylene (PP) fibres while considering several variables, including fibre dispersion, content, and aspect ratio. Fibre content (0.35%, 0.60%, 0.85%), fibre aspect ratios (150, 225, 350), and mix patterns (discrete or random distribution) were varied in the experiments. The results showed that larger fibre content increased tensile strength regardless of distribution pattern. The work in [30] investigates the shear strength and deformation characteristics of saturated cotton fiber-reinforced soil through unconsolidated undrained shear testing. The findings show that the reinforced soil's relationship between stress and strain demonstrates strain hardening, as evidenced by the samples' bulging failure modes. The ideal situation has a 1.0% fibre content and a 3.09 cm length, increasing strength by 63.5% above unreinforced soil. The study [31] examines how sand reinforced with glass and polypropylene fibres behaves. Under a range of confining pressures and relative densities, consolidated undrained monotonic triaxial experiments were performed. According to the findings, shear strength rose as confining pressure and relative density rose. The shear strength was best in specimens reinforced with 1% of fibres.

Additionally, under the same conditions, specimens reinforced with polypropylene fibres showed greater shear strength than those reinforced with glass fibres. Table 1 provides a comprehensive overview of various soil reinforcement methods, encompassing fibre-reinforced soil, soil nailing, geotextiles, geogrids, and others. Each method is tailored with specific parameters and experimental configurations customised to particular soil conditions. Geogrid reinforcement proves effective in encasing stone columns and managing expansive subgrades. Geotextile reinforcement is adept at stabilising clayey soil and enhancing the bearing capacity of subgrades. Soil nails, employed for reinforcing slopes and overburden dumps, contribute to an increased Factor of Safety (FoS). Fibre-reinforced soil treatments using polypropylene and cotton fibres significantly elevate tensile strength and shear resistance, enhancing stability in various geotechnical applications.

Table 1. Comparison of Soil Reinforcement Techniques and Parameters

Reinforcement Method	Soil Type	Parameters	Experimental Setup	Key Observations
Geogrid Reinforcement	Expansive Subgrades	PP Fiber Content, Geogrid Type	Shear Tests	Shear strength increased from 55.43 kPa to 154 kPa with PP fibre and geogrid; 0.50% PP fibre content showed optimal results with biaxial and triaxial geogrid.
	Very Soft Soil with Stone Columns	Encasement Length, Geogrid Stiffness	Numerical Analysis	Full encasement outperforms partial encasement; Geogrid stiffness beyond 5000 kN/m doesn't show substantial improvement.
	Laterite Soil	Zeolite Content, Waterglass Content, Curing Time	Lab Tests	Compressive strength (UCS) improved after seven days of curing; CBR values increased with higher additive content and longer curing times.
Geotextile Reinforcement	Clayey Soil	Geotextile Type, Layers	Lab Tests	Bearing capacity increased for soils reinforced with thermally bonded nonwoven geotextiles.
	Sand Bed	Geotextile Width, Land Width	Lab Tests	Fully wrapped models showed a 50% increase in bearing capacity and a 50% reduction in land area occupied by reinforcement.
	Subgrade	Geotextile Type, Layers	Experimental and Numerical Analysis	Woven geotextiles outperformed nonwoven ones due to higher tensile strength. Proper placement of geotextile layers is crucial for subgrade reinforcement.
	Calcareous Soils	Geotextile Layers	Triaxial Tests	Max deviatoric stress increased significantly for samples reinforced with three geotextile layers.
Soil Nailing	Slopes	Nail Inclination, Nail Length	Stability Analysis	Optimal nail inclination between 0 and 25°; Longer nails enhance Factor of Safety (FS) up to L/H = 0.9.
	Overburden Dump	Nail Parameters, Bench Configuration	Stability Analysis	Soil nailing improves stability by 11%; Bench provision increases Factor of Safety (FoS) by 19-27% for varying dump heights.
	Typical Slopes	Nail Length, Nail Inclination	Stability Analysis	Both approaches (LEM and FDM) yield comparable results, with LEM showing slightly higher FoS.
Fiber-Reinforced Soil	PP Fiber-Reinforced Soil	Fiber Dispersion, Content, Aspect Ratio	Lab Tests	Higher fibre content led to increased tensile strength regardless of distribution pattern.
	Saturated Cotton Fiber-Reinforced Soil	Fiber Content, Fiber Length	Unconsolidated Undrained Shear Tests	Optimal condition at 1.0% fibre content and 3.09 cm fibre length, resulting in a 63.5% increase in strength compared to unreinforced soil.
	Sand Reinforced with Glass and PP Fibers	Confining Pressure, Relative Density	Triaxial Experiments	Shear strength increased with higher confining pressure and relative density; Specimens reinforced with 1% fibres demonstrated the highest shear strength.

4. FACTORS AFFECTING REINFORCED SOIL STABILISATION

Soil Properties- The soil characteristics play a pivotal role in the effectiveness of soil stabilisation efforts. Various factors, including grain size distribution, plasticity, compaction, shear strength, and permeability, influence the interaction between soil and reinforcement. A study investigated the performance of soil reinforced with cement and natural fibre under tension. Tests were conducted on samples with different cement contents (ranging from 0% to 12%), fibre contents (ranging from 0%

to 1%), and curing periods (7, 14, and 28 days) on both reinforced and unreinforced specimens. The results revealed that the direct tensile strength was approximately 7% of the unconfined compressive strength and around 48% of the splitting tensile strength [32]. Natural rice husk has been utilised as a reinforcement for stabilised soil [33], demonstrating its capability to enhance the mechanical properties of soils with cement. The effects of polypropylene fibres and rice husks on compressive and tensile strengths were examined, showing significant strength improvements, with the optimal fibre content ranging between 0.3% and 0.5% [34]. Another study investigated the mechanical characteristics of soil reinforced with cornsilk fibres, a by-product of corn. Different fibre contents (0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2%) and lengths (10 mm, 30 mm, and 50 mm) were evaluated through compaction, unconfined compression, and splitting stress. The maximum improvement in unconfined compressive strength was observed with 1% fibre content and either 10 mm or 30 mm fibre length, showing an increase of approximately 38%. Moreover, the failure splitting tensile strength peaked, rising to 210.5% with 2% fibre content and 50 mm fibre length.

Geosynthetic characteristics- Fundamental components of reinforced soil systems are geosynthetics, encompassing materials such as geotextiles and geogrids. Key considerations include their tensile strength, flexibility, and interaction with the surrounding soil. The type, strength, and arrangement of geosynthetic materials significantly influence the overall effectiveness of reinforced soil structures. Research has explored the mechanical characteristics of soil reinforced with cornsilk fibres [35], showing improvements in strength with varying fibre contents and lengths. Another study examined the impact of polypropylene fibre (PP) on high plastic clays, notorious for causing pavement failures. Clay samples with different fibre additions (ranging from 0.2% to 0.8%) demonstrated substantial improvements, with unconfined compressive strength (UCS) increasing by 356%, E50 rising by 109%, and saturated CBR rising by 225%. Economically, stabilising highly plastic clay reduced the required pavement thickness, showcasing PP fibre as a cost-effective, long-term solution.

Additionally, geopolymer stabilisation, utilising fly ash as a precursor and geotextile as reinforcement, enhanced the stiffness and strength of naturally occurring residual soil. Deeper geotextile reinforcement may be more effective, as soil strength decreases with increasing confining pressure. The study also revealed a shift in failure patterns from shear plane failure to bulging failure in reinforced samples. Furthermore, an assessment of embodied energy (EE) and embodied carbon (EC) for a significant retaining structure project in China highlighted the sustainability benefits of geosynthetic reinforced soil (GRS) technology, which can reduce EE and EC by 30% to 60%, respectively [38].

Environment - The environmental conditions surrounding a reinforced soil structure significantly impact its long-term performance and stability. Factors such as temperature fluctuations, moisture levels, and chemical exposure must be considered. A comparative study evaluated geogrid reinforcement (GRT) and lime stabilisation technique (LST) for stabilising expansive soil slopes, assessing their environmental impacts. Life cycle analysis (LCA) indicated that GRT reduced CO2 emissions by 57.09% and energy consumption by 7.52%. For embankments under 10 meters, the geogrid approach proved superior in emission reduction and energy savings. Sensitivity analysis revealed that increased lime content in LST led to higher energy consumption and CO2 emissions, while increasing reinforcement spacing in GRT also affected energy consumption and emissions. Sustainable soil stabilisation technologies using secondary raw materials are recommended for construction on brittle soils like peatlands, aligning with UN Sustainable Development Goals focused on reducing greenhouse gas emissions and conserving resources. Techniques involving thermally treated waste products, untreated garbage, and new goods made from secondary raw materials offer viable options for soil stabilisation [41]. Additionally, a novel method for assessing expansive soil slopes reinforced with geogrids, considering lateral swelling effects, addresses the limitations of conventional stability analysis techniques. The study underscores the significant influence of lateral swelling on slope stability, emphasising the importance of considering this factor in design and analysis.

Aspect	Soil Properties	Geosynthetic Properties	Impact of PP Fiber	Impact of Geopolymer Stabilization	Environmental Conditions
Materials Used	Cement, Natural Fiber, Rice Husk, Cornsilk Fiber	Geogrids, Geotextiles, Cornsilk Fiber, PP Fiber	PP Fiber	Fly Ash Precursor, Geotextile	Lime, Geogrids
Tests Conducted	Tensile Behavior, Various Content and Curing Days	Compaction, Unconfined Compression, Splitting Tension	UCS, E50, Soaked CBR	Geotextile Layers, Soil Strength, Failure Patterns	Energy Consumption, CO2 Emissions
Key Findings	Direct Tensile Strength vs. Splitting and Compressive Strength	Optimal Fiber Content 0.3% - 0.5%	Significant Improvement	Improved Strength, Bulging Failure	Energy and Emission Reduction
Use in Pavement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Environmental Impact	-	-	Reduction in Emissions	Reduction in Emissions	Energy and Emission Reduction
Sustainable Development	-	-	Yes	Yes	-

5. APPLICATIONS OF REINFORCED SOIL STABILISATION

Road Construction- Reinforced soil finds extensive application in road construction projects, particularly in embankments and slopes. Geosynthetics such as geogrids and geotextiles are employed to strengthen the soil, prevent erosion, and ensure the stability of road barriers, especially on unstable or sloping terrain. Study [42] delves into geotextile toxicity, types, and applications, covering surcharge loads, slope stability, and embankment reinforcement. It contrasts numerical approaches and experimental methods for stabilisation, including modelling rainwater erosion to address concerns related to deformation and stability on soft soil. Geotextile reinforcement proves effective in constructing stable embankments. Additionally, in [43], the study addresses challenges posed by expansive soil during road construction, such as subgrade deformation and slope instability, underscoring the importance of efficient management to ensure stability and cost reduction.

Subgrade Improvement- An indispensable application in civil engineering is the improvement of subgrade through reinforced soil stabilisation, notably in constructing roads, highways, railways, and other transportation infrastructure [44]. The subgrade refers to the natural ground or prepared earth foundation upon which pavement construction is erected, which is crucial for transferring traffic-related loads to the underlying soil. Many naturally occurring soils, particularly those deemed poor or problematic, may lack the requisite engineering properties to withstand anticipated loads without enhancement. Subgrade improvement using reinforced soil stabilisation involves incorporating various reinforcing components into the soil matrix, such as geosynthetics (geotextiles, geogrids), geocomposites, or natural fibres [45]. These reinforcements enhance the soil's shear strength, load-bearing capacity, and stability combined with the soil. The primary objective of this method is to mitigate issues associated with weak or unstable subgrades, including excessive settlement, rutting, and various forms of deformation [46].

6. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS

The reinforcement method for soil stabilisation offers several advantages contributing to its widespread application in civil engineering projects. Firstly, reinforcement enhances the soil's load-bearing capacity, supporting larger constructions and heavier traffic loads. Additionally, reinforcement prevents settlement by facilitating the even distribution of loads, thereby reducing the risk of uneven settling of structures. Moreover, reinforced soil exhibits enhanced stability, increasing resistance to erosive stresses, vibrations, and external influences. The flexibility of reinforcement methods allows engineers to adapt to various soil conditions and project requirements, offering design versatility. Reinforcement techniques also often prove cost-effective, eliminating the need for extensive excavation, transportation, and soil restoration. Furthermore, these methods can be environmentally friendly, utilising sustainable resources and minimising environmental damage associated with soil replenishment and excavation. By utilising existing soil, reinforcement reduces the demand for new resources and minimises disruption to existing infrastructure.

However, the reinforcement method for soil stabilisation also presents certain limitations that must be considered. Firstly, the efficacy of reinforcement methods can vary depending on site-specific soil qualities, potentially limiting their applicability in certain areas. Additionally, proper design and installation of soil reinforcement systems require a high level of engineering skill to ensure effectiveness and safety. The compatibility of reinforcement materials with the soil type and surrounding environment is crucial for optimal outcomes. Moreover, reinforced soil may require regular maintenance and monitoring, depending on weather, traffic volumes, and environmental conditions. The use of metallic reinforcements poses a risk of corrosion over time, potentially compromising the integrity of the reinforced soil. The visibility of reinforcement elements may not align with desired aesthetics or design goals, depending on the project requirements.

Furthermore, regulatory considerations, including limitations or requirements imposed by local ordinances and building codes, may impact the selection and implementation of reinforcement methods. In extreme situations, such as highly expansive or collapsible soils, reinforcement methods may not achieve the desired effects. Therefore, before selecting and implementing a soil stabilisation method utilising reinforcement, thorough site evaluations, soil testing, and engineering analyses are essential to ensure compatibility and effectiveness for a particular project.

7. CONCLUSION

Modern civil engineering considers soil stabilisation through reinforcing technologies a significant approach with several benefits for building projects. The variety of reinforcement techniques, each customised to particular soil conditions and project requirements, emphasises how adaptable this strategy is. Variables like soil parameters, geosynthetic properties, and environmental circumstances heavily influence the success of reinforced soil stabilisation. This approach has several benefits, including improved load-bearing capacity, decreased settlement, and cost-effectiveness, but it also has drawbacks, including site-specific requirements and probable maintenance demands. For any project, thorough site evaluations, technical analysis, and adherence to local laws are essential for effectively using soil stabilisation employing reinforcing technologies. This review offers a thorough overview of the topic and will be an invaluable resource for experts working on geotechnical engineering projects.

REFERENCES

[1]. Sinha, P., Iyer, K.K.R. (2020). Effect of Stabilisation on Characteristics of Subgrade Soil: A Review. In: Prashant, A., Sachan, A., Desai, C. (eds) Advances in Computer Methods and Geomechanics. Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering, vol 55. Springer, Singapore.

[2]. Afrin, H. (2017). A review of different types of soil stabilisation techniques. International Journal of Transportation Engineering and Technology, 3(2), 19-24.

[3]. James, J., and Pandian, P. K. (2015). Soil stabilisation as an avenue for reuse of solid wastes: a review. ActaTechnicaNapocensis: Civil Engineering and Architecture, 58(1), 50-76.

[4]. Norozi, A. G., Kouravand, S., and Boveiri, M. (2015). A review of using the waste in soil stabilisation. International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 21(1), 33-37.

[5]. Sanjay Shukla, Nagaratnam Sivakugan and Braja Das (2009) Fundamental concepts of soil reinforcement: an overview, International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 3, 329-342.

[6]. Shalchian, M.M., Arabani, M. A Review of Soil Reinforcement with Planetary Fibers. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr 22, 4496–4532 (2022).

[7]. Zhongnian Yang, Qi Zhang, Wei Shi, JianhangLv, Zhaochi Lu, Xianzhang Ling, "Advances in Properties of Rubber Reinforced Soil", Advances in Civil Engineering, vol. 2020, Article ID 6629757, 16 pages, 2020.

[8]. Sheikh, I.R., Shah, M.Y. State-of-the-Art Review on the Role of Geocells in Soil Reinforcement. GeotechGeolEng 39, 1727–1741 (2021).

[9]. ManasRanjan Das, Madhumita Mohanty, Sarat Kumar Das, Chapter 8 - Multi-objective optimum design of geosynthetic reinforced soil foundation using genetic algorithm, Editor(s): Pijush Samui, Sunita Kumari, Vladimir Makarov, Pradeep Kurup, Modeling in Geotechnical Engineering, Academic Press, 2021, Pages 151-164, ISBN 9780128212059.

[10]. Shirazi, M.G.; Rashid, A.S.B.A.; Nazir, R.B.; Rashid, A.H.B.A.; Moayedi, H.; Horpibulsuk, S.; Samingthong, W. Sustainable Soil Bearing Capacity Improvement Using Natural Limited Life Geotextile Reinforcement—A Review. Minerals 2020, 10, 479.

[11]. Das, B.M. Use of geogrid in the construction of railroads. Innov. Infrastruct. Solut. 1, 15 (2016).

[12]. Kolathayar, S., Gadekari, R.S., Sitharam, T.G. (2020). An Overview of Natural Materials as Geocells and Their Performance Evaluation for Soil Reinforcement. In: Sitharam, T., Hegde, A., Kolathayar, S. (eds) Geocells. Springer Transactions in Civil and Environmental Engineering. Springer, Singapore.

[13]. Babu, N., and Poulose, E. (2018). Effect of lime on soil properties: A review. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 5(5).

[14]. HadiSahlabadi, S., Bayat, M., Mousivand, M., and Saadat, M. (2021). Freeze-thaw durability of cement-stabilised soil reinforced with polypropylene/basalt fibres. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 33(9), 04021232.

[15]. Tan, T., Huat, B. B., Anggraini, V., Shukla, S. K., and Nahazanan, H. (2021). Strength behaviour of fly ash-stabilised soil reinforced with coir fibres in an alkaline environment. Journal of Natural Fibers, 18(11), 1556-1569.

[16]. S. Andavan, B. Maneesh Kumar, Case study on soil stabilisation using bitumen emulsions – A review, Materials Today: Proceedings, Volume 22, Part 3,2020, Pages 1200-1202, ISSN 2214-7853.

[17]. Liang, L.; Xu, Y.; Hu, S. Bending and Crack Evolution Behaviors of Cemented Soil Reinforced with Surface Modified PVA Fiber. Materials 2022, 15, 4799.

[18]. Abdolhosseinzadeh, A., Samui, P., Samaei, M. et al. Numerical analysis of bearing capacity of circular footing reinforced with geogrid layers. Arab J Geosci 15, 750 (2022).

[19]. Tiwari, N., Satyam, N. An experimental study on strength improvement of expansive subgrades by polypropylene fibres and geogrid reinforcement. Sci Rep 12, 6685 (2022).
[20]. Pandey, B.K., Rajesh, S., Chandra, S. (2022). Numerical Analysis of Soft Soil Reinforced with Geogrid Encased Stone Column. In: Dey, A.K., Mandal, J.J., Manna, B. (eds) Proceedings of the 7th Indian Young Geotechnical Engineers Conference. IYGEC 2019. Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering, vol 195. Springer, Singapore.
[21]. Harianto, T. (2022). Performance of Subbase Layer with Geogrid Reinforcement and Zeolite-Waterglass Stabilization. Civil Engineering Journal, 8(20), 251-262.
[22]. Shadi Aria, Sanjay Kumar Shukla, and AlirezaMohyeddin, Behaviour of sandy soil reinforced with geotextile having partially and fully wrapped ends, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Ground Improvement 2021 174:1, 29-41 (2021)
[23]. Ramjiram Thakur, S., Naveen, B. P. and Tegar, J.P. Improvement in CBR value of soil reinforced with nonwoven geotextile sheets. Geo-Engineering 12, 8 (2021).
[24]. Madhu Sudan Negi and S.K. Singh (2021) Experimental and numerical studies on geotextile reinforced subgrade soil, International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 15:9, 1106-1117.
[25]. Reza Rezvani (2020) Shearing response of geotextile-reinforced calcareous soils using monotonic triaxial tests, Marine Georesources and Geotechnology, 38:2, 238-249.
[26]. Elahi, T.E.; Islam, M.A.; Islam, M.S. Parametric Assessment of Soil Nailing on the Stability of Slopes Using Numerical Approach. Geotechnics 2022, 2, 615-634.
[27]. Puja Rajhans, Anupkumar G Ekbote, Gaurav Bhatt, Stability analysis of mine overburden dump and improvement by soil nailing, Materials Today: Proceedings, Volume 65, Part 2,2022, Pages 735-740, ISSN 2214-7853.
[28]. Singh, S.K., Negi, M.S. and Singh, J. Strengthening of Slope by Soil Nailing Using Finite Difference and Limit Equilibrium Methods. International Journal of Geosynth and Ground Engineering, 7, 64 (2021).
[29]. Shixin He, Xuxiang Wang, Haibo Bai, Zhiwei Xu, Dan Ma, Effect of fibre dispersion, content and aspect ratio on tensile strength of PP fibre reinforced soil, Journal of Materials Research and Technology, Volume 15, 2021, Pages 1613-1621, ISSN 2238-7854.
[30]. Liu, Jl., Hou, Ts., Luo, Ys. et al. Experimental Study on Unconsolidated Undrained Shear Strength Characteristics of Synthetic Cotton Fiber Reinforced Soil. GeotechGeolEng 38, 1773–1783 (2020).
[31]. Javdanian, H., Soltani, N., Shams, G. et al. Investigating the monotonic behaviour of fibre-reinforced soil under triaxial compression using experimental modelling. Model. Earth Syst. Environ. 7, 943–952 (2021).
[32]. KhiemQuang Tran, Tomoaki Satomi, Hiroshi Takahashi, Tensile behaviours of natural fibre and cement reinforced soil subjected to direct tensile test, Journal of Building Engineering, Volume 24,2019,100748, ISSN 2352-7102.
[33]. Yu Xiao, Liyuan Tong, HongboChe, QiwenGuo, Huangsong Pan, Experimental studies on compressive and tensile strength of cement-stabilised soil reinforced with rice husks and polypropylene fibres, Construction and Building Materials, Volume 344, 2022, 128242, ISSN 0950-0618.
[34]. KhiemQuang Tran, Tomoaki Satomi, Hiroshi Takahashi, Effect of waste cornsilk fibre reinforcement on mechanical properties of soft soils, Transportation Geotechnics, Volume 16,2018, Pages 76-84, ISSN 2214-3912,
[35]. Hamza, M., Aziz, M., Xiang, W. et al. Strengthening of high plastic clays by geotextile reinforcement. Arab J Geosci 15, 805 (2022).

[36]. Jayawardane, V.S., Anggraini, V., Li-Shen, A.T. et al. Strength Enhancement of Geotextile-Reinforced Fly-Ash-Based Geopolymer Stabilized Residual Soil, International Journal of Geosynth and Ground Engineering, 6, 50 (2020).

[37]. Li, L., Shao, W., Li, Y. et al. Effects of Climatic Factors on Mechanical Properties of Cement and Fiber Reinforced Clays. GeotechGeolEng 33, 537–548 (2015).

[38]. Yuming Zhu, Fei Zhang, ShilinJia, Embodied energy and carbon emissions analysis of geosynthetic reinforced soil structures, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 370,2022,133510, ISSN 0959-6526.

[39]. Rui Zhang, Mingxu Long, Jianlong Zheng, "Comparison of Environmental Impacts of Two Alternative Stabilisation Techniques on Expansive Soil Slopes", Advances in Civil Engineering, vol. 2019, Article ID 9454929, 13 pages, 2019.

[40]. Vincevica-Gaile, Z.; Teppand, T.; Kriipsalu, M.; Krievans, M.; Jani, Y.; Klavins, M.; HendrokoSetyobudi, R.; Grinfelde, I.; Rudovica, V.; Tamm, T.; et al. Towards Sustainable Soil Stabilization in Peatlands: Secondary Raw Materials as an Alternative. Sustainability 2021, 13, 6726.

[41]. Zhang, R., Long, Mx., Lan, T. et al. Stability analysis method of geogrid reinforced expansive soil slopes and its engineering application. J. Cent. South Univ. 27, 1965–1980 (2020).

[42]. Kumar, S., Roy, L.B. Rainfall Induced Geotextile Reinforced Model Slope Embankment Subjected to Surcharge Loading: A Review Study, Arch. Computat. Methods Eng., 29, 3203–3221 (2022).

[43]. Zhen Huang, Hang Shi, Wei Zhang, Shaokun Ma, Feng Gao, Min Ma, "Expansive Soil Embankment Filling and Cutting Treatment Technology: A Systematic Review", Advances in Materials Science and Engineering, vol. 2022, Article ID 4045620, 20 pages, 2022.

[44]. Edincliler, A., and Cagatay, A. (2013). Weak subgrade improvement with rubber fibre inclusions. Geosynthetics International, 20(1), 39-46.

[45]. Horvath, J. S., and Colasanti, R. J. (2011). Practical subgrade model for improved soil-structure interaction analysis: Model development. International Journal of Geomechanics, 11(1), 59-64.

[46]. Rogers, C. D. F., Thomas, A. M., Jefferson, I., and Gaterell, M. (2009). Carbon Dioxide Emissions Due to Highway Subgrade Improvements. Transportation Research Record, 2104(1), 80–87.