ISSN: 2321-1156

www.ijirts.org Volume 12 Issue 2, March 2024

A Review of Glaucoma Optic Disk Localization and Classification Machine Learning and Deep Learning Models

Anshul Jain, Vikas Sakalle

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, LNCT University, India aanshul0912@gmail.com, vikassakalle@gmail.com

Selection and peer review of this article are under the responsibility of the scientific committee of the International Conference on Current Trends in Engineering, Science, and Management (ICCSTEM-2024) at SAM Global University, Bhopal.

Abstract:- This review aims to comprehensively synthesise recent advancements in machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) models specifically designed for localising and classifying the optic disk in glaucoma diagnosis. Glaucoma, a leading cause of irreversible blindness, is characterised by distinctive changes in the optic disk. Manual evaluations, although invaluable, face challenges due to inconsistencies, subjectivity, and the considerable time required. With the emergence of artificial intelligence, ML and DL models have become potent tools for enhanced and automated optic disk evaluations. An exhaustive literature search of primary studies from 2010 to 2023 focused on models to localise and classify the optic disk in glaucoma diagnosis. Selection criteria included novelty, accuracy, and clinical relevance of the models. Various architectures, datasets used, training techniques, and performance metrics were critically analysed. Numerous ML and DL models have shown promising optic disk localisation and classification results. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) have predominantly led the DL paradigm, with innovative architectures improving specificity and sensitivity. Hybrid models integrating traditional ML techniques with DL have also emerged, demonstrating enhanced robustness and generalizability. ML and DL models possess transformative potential in glaucoma care, offering a blend of accuracy, efficiency, and consistency. As these models evolve, integrating larger datasets and multimodal imaging, their role in clinical settings is poised to expand, bridging the gap between technological advancements and patient-centric care.

Keywords:- Glaucoma, Optic Disk, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Convolutional Neural Networks, Diagnosis

I. INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma, often referred to as the "silent thief of sight", stands as a major public health concern due to its status as one of the predominant causes of irreversible blindness globally. The optic disk plays a central role in diagnosing, monitoring, and understanding glaucoma in the eye's retina, where the optic nerve fibres converge to exit the eyeball. Alterations in the disk's optic appearance and structure, particularly the optic cup's enlargement within the disk. serve as pivotal indicators of glaucomatous damage. Historically. the evaluation of the optic disk relied predominantly on manual methods, with ophthalmologists interpreting fundus photographs or Optical

ISSN: 232	1-11	56
-----------	------	----

www.ijirts.org

Volume 12 <u>Issue 2</u>, <u>March 2024</u>

Coherence Tomography (OCT) scans to discern any glaucomatous changes. However, this method, while invaluable, is not without its drawbacks. Subjectivity in assessments, inconsistencies across evaluations, and the required time are challenges faced in traditional optic disk assessment.

Moreover, the scarcity of specialists in many parts of the world exacerbates the problem, leaving vast populations at elevated risk. Enter the era of artificial intelligence. With the blossoming of Machine Learning (ML) and its sophisticated subset, Deep Learning (DL), we have witnessed a paradigm shift in various domains, including medical imaging. These computational techniques have allowed for automating, enhancing, and standardising optic disk evaluations, providing speed and consistency. This review delves into the burgeoning realm of ML and DL models tailored for glaucoma optic disk localisation and classification. We traverse the current research landscape, exploring methodologies, innovations, and findings that have shaped the frontier of automated glaucoma detection. We aim to offer insights into the capabilities and potentials of these models, fostering a deeper understanding of their role in revolutionising glaucoma care in the 21st century.

II. BACKGROUND STUDY

Glaucoma is one of the leading causes of irreversible blindness worldwide. Characterised by the damage to the optic nerve and subsequent visual field loss, early detection and appropriate intervention are critical to halting its progression and preventing visual impairment. The optic disk, especially the proportion and relationship between the optic cup and the overall disc, plays a vital role in diagnosing and monitoring glaucoma. Traditionally, ophthalmologists evaluate the optic disk using fundus photography and Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT). These evaluations can be subjective and vary between observers, leading to inconsistencies in diagnosis and treatment decisions.

Furthermore, inregions globally, many especially in low-resource settings, there's a shortage of ophthalmologists, which leaves a significant portion of the population at risk of undiagnosed and untreated glaucoma. In the digital age, the convergence of medical imaging and computational techniques offers a promising solution. Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL), subsets of artificial intelligence, have shown remarkable achievements in image recognition tasks across various domains. In ophthalmology, these tools present an opportunity to provide consistent, objective, and high-speed evaluations of the optic disk, thereby aiding in glaucoma detection and management. In recent years, there has been a surge in research focusing on applying ML and DL models for optic disk localisation and glaucoma classification. These models, trained on vast datasets of labelled fundus images, aim to identify the optic disk's location and assess its morphology for signs of glaucoma. Given the rapid advancements and the diverse approaches in this domain, there's a need to critically review the existing literature, understand the state-ofthe-art models, identify their strengths and weaknesses, and chart out the future research direction. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of ML and DL models designed for glaucoma optic disk localisation and classification, evaluating their performance, discussing the challenges, and highlighting potential areas for future exploration.

TOONI	-9291	11	56
TOOL!		L-T 7	LOO

www.ijirts.org

Volume 12 Issue 2, March 2024

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Latif et al. (2022) designed a novel deep-learning model to automate optic disc localisation and classify glaucoma using fundus images. Thanki (2023) utilised a combination of deep neural networks and machine learning to classify retinal fundus images, as detailed in Healthcare Analytics. Brown et al. delved into using deep learning to detect optic disc haemorrhages in a localised manner, a contribution featured in the American Journal of Ophthalmology. Nawaz et al. (2022) introduced an efficient deep-learning methodology for glaucoma detection, relying on localising the optic disc and optic cup. Archana et al. (2023) analysed various machine learning and deep learning techniques used in glaucoma detection. Alawad et al. (2022) reviewed the machine learning and deep learning techniques available for segmenting the optic disc and cup, with their findings published inClinical Ophthalmology. Rasheed et al. (2023)introduced RimNet, a deep neural network pipeline engineered for the automated identification of the optic disc rim, with their findings showcased in Ophthalmology Science. Zedan et al. (2023) reviewed various deeplearning approaches for glaucoma screening and diagnosis, primarily utilising retinal fundus images as the data source. Charng et al. (2023) discussed various deep learning applications in diagnosing retinal and optic nerve diseases. Fan et al. (2023) explored a unique method of glaucoma detection from fundus photographs using deep learning, specifically by employing transformers instead of conventional convolutions, offering insights into improved generalisation, as detailed in Ophthalmology Science. Panahi et al. (2023) developed a method to autonomously assess spontaneous retinal venous pulsations based on fundus videos

165

documented in Scientific Reports. Braeu et al. (2023) delved into the use of Geometric Deep Learning to determine critical 3D structural features of the Optic Nerve Head, a significant advancement in diagnosing glaucoma. Musthafa (2023) utilised Deep Reinforcement Learning for precise localisation of the optic disc region, aiming to enhance the accuracy of glaucoma diagnosis. Vali et al. (2023) differentiated glaucomatous optic neuropathy from nonglaucomatous ones using advanced deep learning algorithms, as detailed in the American Journal of Ophthalmology. Thamilselvan et al. (2023) analysed various machine learning and deep learning techniques and their effectiveness in diagnosing glaucoma.

Oguz et al. (2023) proposed a novel hybrid model based on CNN for glaucoma detection, detailed with methodology and results documented in Multimedia Tools and Applications. Jumanto et al. (2023) proposed an enhanced glaucoma prediction method combining histogram and grey-level cooccurrence matrix techniques. Xu et al. (2023) introduced E-Net, а novel deep-learning framework integrating expert knowledge specifically for segmenting glaucoma optic disc haemorrhage. Parkhi and Hambarde (2023) developed a deep learning method to segment the optical cup and disc critical for accurate glaucoma detection, documented in the International Journal of Next-Generation Computing. Shoukat et al. (2023) introduced an automatic diagnosis system for glaucoma, drawing data from retinal images and utilising a state-of-the-art deep learning approach. Chan et al. (2023) showcased a cutting-edge Deep Learning System designed explicitly for evaluating the quality of optic disc photographs, aiming to benefit the diagnosis and treatment of neuro-ophthalmic disorders. Janani

ISSN	ົງຊງ1	-1156
TOOL		-1100

www.ijirts.org

Volume 12 <u>Issue 2</u>, <u>March 2024</u>

and Rajamohana (2021) performed a survey on early glaucoma detection methods, emphasising techniques focusing on the segmentation of the optic disc and optic cup. Lamba and Rani machine learning-based (2023)discussed segmentation and classification algorithms glaucoma tailored for detection, marking advancements in diagnostic capabilities. Ahmed et al. (2023) introduced an efficient deeplearning network to detect and classify the glaucomatous eye. David, D.S. (2023) proposed an enhanced glaucoma detection approach integrating an ensemble-based CNN with a spatially oriented ellipse fitting curve model for better diagnosis precision. Prananda et al. focused on retinal nerve fibre layer analysis, aiming to enhance the efficiency of glaucoma detection during eye disease assessment by incorporating deep learning. Veena et al. (2020) delved into various optic disc and optic cup segmentation methodologies and their application in detecting glaucoma using retinal fundus images. Liu et al. (2022) presented a glaucoma screening tool employing an attentionguided stereo ensemble network, bringing forward an innovative approach to detect the disease. Guergueb and Akhloufi (2023) provided a thorough overview of deep learning techniques available for glaucoma detection, discussing their advantages and challenges. CH, M. (2023) proposed a method for detecting glaucoma using convolution neural networks, emphasising the potential of CNNs in revolutionising diagnostic processes. Hemelings et al. (2023) introduced a deep-learning regression model for automated glaucoma screening, emphasising its generalizability using fundus images for early disease detection. Varma et al. (2022) provided insights on automatic glaucoma detection techniques utilising fundus images, compiling

and discussing recent advancements. Hussain and Basak (2023) presented UT-Net, a novel integration of U-Net and Transformer networks, offering joint optic disc and cup segmentation for enhanced glaucoma detection.

Lenka et al. (2023) emphasised a glaucoma technique based on specularity detection removal and a low-rank model applied to retinal fundus images. Shan et al. (2023) introduced a deep learning method classifying angle closure segment OCT, using anterior showcasing advancements inglaucoma detection inOphthalmology Glaucoma. Elangovan et al. (2023) proposed an enhanced method for classifying glaucoma stages the using Efficientnet-b0 CNN and RNN from colour fundus images. Fang and Qiao (2023) delved into glaucoma multi-classification through a novel syndrome mechanism-based dual-channel network. Kitaguchi et al. (2023) focused on a deep-learning approach to detect childhood glaucoma based on periocular photographs, emphasising early diagnosis. Selvathi (2023) discussed classification techniques for ocular diseases utilising transfer learning approaches and offering insights into glaucoma severity grading. The chapter addressed fundus image classification using deep learning architectures and their impact on choosing deep learning architectures. Krishna et al. (2023) integrated multimodal imaging to provide a more accurate glaucoma diagnosis. Naidana and Barpanda (2023) presented a unique polynomial-driven deep-learning approach tailored for glaucoma classification, showcasing innovative techniques in the field. Muramatsu (2020) concentrated on diagnosing glaucoma using retinal fundus images, focusing on detecting nerve fibre layer defects and analysing the optic disc. Hasan et al. (2023) provided a comprehensive review of the

in

ISSN: 2321-1156

applications

of intelligence artificial diagnosing glaucoma and neurodegenerative

www.ijirts.org Volume 12 Issue 2, March 2024 diseases, presenting the intersection of AI and

optometry.

Ref	Method	Result	Limitation
1	ODGNet: Deep learning for optic disc localisation and glaucoma classification	Accurate optic disc localisation and glaucoma classification	Limited discussion on model interpretability. Specific performance metrics may not be provided. Scalability considerations are not explored.
2	Network and machine learning approach for retinal fundus image classification	Improved retinal fundus image classification using deep learning	There is limited discussion on the choice of deep learning architectures and their impact. Scalability challenges are not explored.
3	Deep learning for localised detection of optic disc haemorrhages	Accurate detection of optic disc haemorrhages using deep learning	There is limited discussion on the specifics of the deep learning model architecture. Specific performance metrics may not be provided. Scalability considerations are not discussed.
4	Deep learning approach for automatic glaucoma detection using optic disc and optic cup localisation	Efficient automatic glaucoma detection using deep learning	There is limited discussion on the choice of deep learning algorithms and their impact. Scalability challenges are not explored.
5	Machine learning and deep learning techniques for glaucoma detection	Overview of machine learning and deep learning techniques for glaucoma detection	Does not focus on a specific method or result. General review article. Scalability considerations are not addressed.
6	Review of machine learning and deep learning techniques for optic disc and cup segmentation	A comprehensive review of optic disc and cup segmentation techniques	Does not present specific results. Review the article without specific methods. Scalability considerations are not discussed.
7	RimNet: A deep neural network pipeline for automated identification of the optic disc rim	Automated identification of the optic disc rim using a deep neural network pipeline	There is limited discussion on the specifics of the deep neural network architecture. Specific performance metrics may not be provided. Scalability considerations are not discussed.
8	Automated glaucoma screening and diagnosis based on retinal fundus images using deep learning approaches	Efficient glaucoma screening and diagnosis using deep learning approaches	There is limited discussion on the choice of deep learning approaches and their impact. Scalability challenges are not explored.
9	Applications of deep	Applications of	Overview article without specific

SN:	2321-1156	www.ijirts.org	Volume 12 Issue 2, March 20
	learning in retinal and	deep learning in	methods or results. Does not address
	optic nerve diseases	retinal and optic	scalability considerations.
		nerve diseases	
	Transformer for improved	Glaucoma detection	There is limited discussion on the
10	generalisation in	using a Transformer	choice of Transformer parameters and
10	glaucoma detection from	for improved	their impact. Scalability considerations
	fundus photographs	generalisation	are not discussed.
		Autonomous	
	Autonomous assessment	assessment of	
11	of spontaneous retinal	retinal venous	I here is limited discussion on the
11	venous pulsations using	pulsations using	specifics of deep reinforcement learning
	deep reinforcement	deep reinforcement	Scalability challenges are not explored.
	learning	learning	
	Geometric Deep Learning	Identification of	There is limited discussion on the
	for critical 3D structural	critical 3D	specifics of the geometric deep learning
12	features of the optic	structural features	model. Specific performance metrics
	nerve head for glaucoma	using geometric	may not be provided. Scalability
	diagnosis	deep learning	considerations are not discussed.
	Precise Localisation of		
	Optic Disc Region for	Precise localisation	There is limited discussion on the
13	Accurate Glaucoma	of optic disc for	specifics of deep reinforcement learning
	Diagnosis Using Deep	accurate glaucoma	Scalability considerations are not
	Reinforcement Learning	diagnosis	explored.
	Differentiating		
	Glaucomatous Optic	Differentiation of	There is limited discussion on the
14	Neuropathy From	glaucomatous optic	specifics of deep learning algorithms
14	Nonglaucomatous Optic	neuropathy using	and their impact. Scalability challenges
	Neuropathies Using Deep	deep learning	are not explored.
	Learning		
		Diagnosing	
	Diagnosing Glaucoma	glaucoma using	
	Disease Using Machine	machine learning	Overview article without specific
	Learning and Deep	and deep learning	methods or results. Scalability
15	Learning Techniques	techniques	considerations are not addressed.
		Detection of	There is limited discussion on the
	CNN-based hybrid model	glaucoma disease	specifics of the hybrid model
	to detect glaucoma	using a CNN-based	architecture. Scalability challenges are
16	disease	hybrid model	not discussed in depth.
	Mix histogram and grey	Improved glaucoma	
	level cooccurrence matrix	prediction using	There is limited discussion on the
	to improve glaucoma	histogram and	specific machine learning parameters
	prediction machine	matrix-based	and their impact. Scalability
17	learning.	machine learning	considerations are not explored.
	Deep learning framework	Deep learning	There is limited discussion on the
18	for glaucoma optic disc	framework for	specifics of the deep learning

haemorrhage segmentationglaucoma optic disc haemorrhage segmentationframework. Specific performance metrics may not be provided. Scalability considerations are no discussed.Optical cup and disc segmentation using deep learning technique for glaucoma detectionThere is limited discussion on th choice of deep learning technique parameters and their impact.19glaucoma detectionAutomatic diagnosis ofAutomatic Diagnosis ofAutomatic diagnosis ofThere is limited discussion on th	t e plored. e ches llenges
segmentationhaemorrhage segmentationmetrics may not be provided. Scalability considerations are no discussed.Optical cup and disc segmentation using deep learning technique for glaucoma detectionThere is limited discussion on the choice of deep learning technique parameters and their impact.19glaucoma detectionGalability challenges are not expAutomaticAutomaticThere is limited discussion on the choice of deep learning technique	t e plored. e ches llenges
SegmentationScalability considerations are no discussed.Optical cup and disc segmentation using deep learning technique for glaucoma detectionThere is limited discussion on th choice of deep learning technique parameters and their impact.19glaucoma detectionGalability challenges are not expAutomaticAutomaticThere is limited discussion on th	t e plored. e ches llenges
Image: discusseddiscussed.Optical cup and discThere is limited discussion on the segmentation using deeplearning technique forSegmentation using deep learning forglaucoma detectionglaucoma detectionScalability challenges are not expAutomaticThere is limited discussion on the diagnosis of	e e ches
Optical cup and discThere is limited discussion on the segmentation using deepsegmentation using deepSegmentation using deep learning forchoice of deep learning technique parameters and their impact.19glaucoma detectionglaucoma detectionScalability challenges are not expAutomaticAutomaticThere is limited discussion on the	e plored. e ches llenges
segmentation using deepSegmentation using deep learning forchoice of deep learning technique parameters and their impact.19glaucoma detectionglaucoma detectionScalability challenges are not expAutomaticAutomaticThere is limited discussion on th	e plored. e ches llenges
learning technique for glaucoma detectiondeep learning for glaucoma detectionparameters and their impact.19glaucoma detectionScalability challenges are not expAutomaticAutomaticAutomatic Diagnosis ofdiagnosis ofThere is limited discussion on th	plored. .e ches llenges
19 glaucoma detection glaucoma detection Scalability challenges are not explanation Automatic Automatic There is limited discussion on the	e e e e e
Automatic Automatic Automatic Diagnosis of There is limited discussion on th	e ches llenges
Automatic Diagnosis of diagnosis of There is limited discussion on th	e ches llenges
0 0	ches llenges
Glaucoma from Retinal glaucoma from specifics of deep learning approa	llenges
Images Using Deep retinal images using and their impact. Scalability cha	mongos
20 Learning Approach deep learning are not explored.	
Deep Learning for	
automated quality	
evaluation of optic disc Automated quality Limited discussion on specific de	ep
photographs in neuro- evaluation of optic learning architecture details. Sca	lability
21 ophthalmic disorders disc photographs considerations not addressed.	Ū.
Survey on early detection	
of glaucoma using optic Overview of early Does not present specific results.	
disc and optic cup detection methods General survey article. Scalabilit	У
22 segmentation using Segmentation considerations are not discussed.	
Effective deep	
Machine learning-based learning network There is limited discussion on the	e
segmentation and for detecting and choice of deep learning algorithm	ns and
classification algorithms classifying their impact. Scalability challeng	ges not
23 for glaucoma detection glaucomatous eyes explored.	,
There is limited discussion on de	ep
Effective deep learning learning architecture specifics. S	pecific
network for detecting and Efficient detection performance metrics may not be	
classifying glaucomatous and classification of provided. Scalability consideration	ons not
24 eyes glaucomatous eyes addressed.	
Enhanced glaucoma	
detection using ensemble- Improved glaucoma	
based CNN and spatially detection using There is limited discussion on the	e
based ellipse fitting curve ensemble-based specifics of ensemble-based CNN	•
25 model CNN Scalability challenges are not ex-	plored.
There is limited discussion on de	ep
Retinal nerve fibre layer learning model specifics. Specific	-
analysis using deep Improved glaucoma performance metrics may not be	
learning to improve detection using provided. Scalability consideration	ons not
26 glaucoma detection. deep learning discussed.	
Review of optic disc and Overview of Does not present specific results	
optic cup segmentation segmentation and General review article. Scalabilit	
27 and classification classification considerations are not addressed	v

SSN:	2321-1156	www.ijirts.org	Volume 12 Issue 2, March 202
	approaches for glaucoma	approaches	
	detection.		
			There is limited discussion on the
	Glaucoma screening using	Efficient glaucoma	choice of network parameters and their
	an attention-guided	screening using an	impact. Scalability considerations not
28	stereo ensemble network	ensemble network	discussed.
		Overview of deep	Does not focus on specific methods or
	Review of deep learning	learning techniques	results. General review article.
	techniques for glaucoma	for glaucoma	Scalability considerations are not
29	detection	detection	addressed.
-	Glaucoma detection using		There is limited discussion on the
	convolutional neural	Glaucoma detection	choice of CNN architecture specifics.
30	networks	using CNN	Scalability challenges are not explored.
			There is limited discussion on deep
	Generalisable deep	Generalisable deep	learning regression model specifics.
	learning regression model	learning regression	Specific performance metrics may not
	for automated glaucoma	model for glaucoma	be provided. Scalability considerations
31	screening	screening	not discussed.
	A short review on		
	automatic detection of	Short review on	General review article without specific
	glaucoma using fundus	automatic detection	methods or results. Scalability
32	image	of glaucoma	considerations are not addressed.
	Combining U-Net and	Joint segmentation	
	Transformer for joint	and glaucoma	There is limited discussion on the
	optic disc and cup	detection using U-	combined U-Net and Transformer
	segmentation and	Net and	model specifics. Scalability challenges
33	glaucoma detection	Transformer	are not explored.
	Glaucoma detection		There is limited discussion on the
	based on specularity	Glaucoma detection	model specifics. Specific performance
	removal low-rank model	based on	metrics may not be provided.
	from retinal fundus	specularity removal	Scalability considerations are not
34	images	low-rank model	discussed.
		Deep learning	
	Deep learning	classification of	
	classification of angle	angle closure using	Limited discussion on specific deep
	closure based on anterior	anterior segment	learning architecture details. Scalability
35	segment OCT	OCT	considerations not addressed.
	Improved classification of	Improved	
	glaucoma stages from	classification of	Limited discussion on the specific
	colour fundus images	glaucoma stages	architecture details of EfficientNet-B0
	using EfficientNet-B0	using EfficientNet-	CNN and RNN. Scalability challenges
36	CNN and RNN	B0 CNN and RNN	are not explored.
	Glaucoma	Multiclassification	There is limited discussion on the
	multiclassification using a	of glaucoma using a	specific architectural details of the
37	novel syndrome	dual-channel	dual-channel network. Scalability

IS	SN:	2321-1156	www.ijirts.org	Volume 12 Issue 2, March 202
		mechanism-based dual-	network	challenges are not explored.
		channel network		
ĺ		Glaucoma detection	Glaucoma detection	There is limited discussion on specific
		based on periocular	based on periocular	model architecture details. Scalability
	38	photography	photography	considerations are not discussed.
		Classification of ocular		
		diseases using transfer	Classification of	There is limited discussion on the
		learning approaches and	ocular diseases	choice of transfer learning approaches
		glaucoma severity	using transfer	and their impact. Scalability challenges
	39	grading	learning approaches	not explored.
		Multimodal imaging-	Accurate glaucoma	
		based feature fusion for	diagnosis using	There is limited discussion on the
		accurate glaucoma	multimodal	specifics of multimodal imaging-based
		diagnosis with deep	imaging-based	feature fusion. Scalability challenges
	40	learning	feature fusion	are not explored.
			Glaucoma	
			classification using	There is limited discussion on the
		Glaucoma classification	a polynomial-driven	details of the specific polynomial-driven
		using a polynomial-driven	deep learning	deep learning approach. Scalability
	41	deep learning approach	approach	considerations are not discussed.
			Diagnosis of	There is limited discussion on deep
			glaucoma using	learning model specifics. Specific
		Diagnosis of glaucoma on	deep learning on	performance metrics may not be
		retinal fundus images	retinal fundus	provided. Scalability considerations not
	42	using deep learning	images	discussed.
			Use of AI in the	
		Artificial intelligence in	diagnosis of	General discussion on AI applications
		the diagnosis of glaucoma	glaucoma and	without specific methods or results.
		and neurodegenerative	neurodegenerative	Scalability considerations are not
	43	diseases	diseases	addressed.

IV. RESEARCH GAP

Research in glaucoma detection and diagnosis reveals several notable gaps that warrant further advancement. Firstly, a significant gap exists in the diversity of datasets used to train models. While most current models rely on standard datasets, there is limited exploration of models trained on diverse datasets encompassing varying age groups, ethnicities, and stages of glaucoma. This lack of diversity poses a challenge to the generalisation capabilities of the models. Secondly, the interpretability of deep learning (DL) models remains a concern. Despite their effectiveness, DL models often operate as black boxes, providing limited insight into the reasoning behind classifications. Addressing this gap requires the development of interpretable models that can offer clinicians insights into why specific regions of the optic disk are classified as indicative of glaucoma.

Furthermore, many current models are resourceintensive, rendering them unsuitable for realtime analysis. Research into lightweight models that maintain accuracy while reducing resource requirements is crucial, especially for deployment in resource-limited settings where

TOONT	0001	11 20
	・パイ・ノー	LLbh
TODIN		TTOO

www.ijirts.org Volume 12 Issue 2, March 2024

real-time analysis is imperative. Additionally, there is a lack of research on seamlessly integrating these models into clinical workflows. This includes the detection process, documentation, tracking progression, and effective patient communication, highlighting need for the comprehensive integration strategies. Moreover, the robustness of models against noisy or altered data and their performance under adversarial attacks remains underexplored. Ensuring the resilience of models in such scenarios is essential for their reliability in real-world applications.

Furthermore, longitudinal analysis capabilities are still in the nascent stages. Most current models provide a snapshot diagnosis based on a single image. In contrast, research into models capable of analysing changes in the optic disk over time for predicting glaucoma progression or treatment response is limited. Additionally, leveraging transfer learning or few-shot learning techniques for glaucoma detection is an area that requires further exploration, given the limited availability of annotated medical images. Furthermore, while optic disk localisation and classification are crucial, there is a gap in models that effectively integrate this data with other clinical information, patient history, and additional tests to provide a holistic view for improved diagnosis. Lastly, addressing ethical and privacy concerns surrounding AI in healthcare, including potential biases of models, is essential for ensuring the responsible development and deployment of glaucoma detection systems. Thus, further research is warranted to address these gaps and advance the glaucoma diagnosis and treatment field.

V. ADVANTAGE

The advantages of conducting reviews in glaucoma detection using machine learning and deep learning techniques are manifold. Firstly, these reviews offer a consolidated knowledge base by synthesising findings from multiple studies, providing an overview of the current state-of-the-art techniques, algorithms, and methodologies. researchers Secondly, can perform comparative analyses across various models, datasets, and performance metrics, facilitating the identification of the most effective models. Moreover, reviews can highlight best practices in data preprocessing, feature extraction, model training, and validation, benefiting newcomers and experts in adopting efficient approaches. Furthermore, review gap analysis helps identify areas for further research or improvement in current methodologies.

Additionally, reviews often advocate for standardised datasets, metrics, and evaluation methods. contributing to improved reproducibility in future studies. For clinicians or institutions considering ML/DL adoption for glaucoma detection. reviews are valuable resources guiding them towards the most promising models or algorithms, thus saving time and resources. Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration is fostered through such reviews, together ophthalmologists, bringing data scientists, and ML experts to work towards common goals. Ultimately, patient benefit is indirectly promoted as reviews highlight the most accurate and efficient models, leading to earlier and more accurate glaucoma detection. Additionally, reviews can pinpoint technological advancements that have led to breakthroughs, guiding future research and development efforts. It's important to note that comprehensive reviews also acknowledge the limitations of current models, helping to set realistic

ISSN: 2321-1156	www.ijirts.org	Volume 12 Issue 2, March 2024

expectations and informing areas of caution for clinical implementation. Furthermore, thev contribute to standard setting by establishing benchmarks and standards for model performance, ultimately pushing the community towards higher accuracy and better clinical relevance. Lastly, insights from such reviews may inspire broader applications in other areas of ophthalmology or other medical specialities, versatility demonstrating the of ML/DLtechniques and their potential for transformative impact beyond glaucoma detection.

VI. CONCLUSION

The evolution of machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) in medical diagnostics has opened up transformative possibilities, especially in ophthalmology. Our review of ML and DL models for glaucoma optic disk localisation and classification underlines these techniques' immense potential in enhancing the early detection and treatment of glaucoma. Across the reviewed literature, it's evident that advanced algorithms have achieved significant accuracy, with some even surpassing human experts in specific tasks. This is promising, especially when considering the global burden of glaucoma and the importance of early diagnosis in preventing irreversible vision loss. However, it's equally important to acknowledge the existing challenges. The diversity in datasets, the need for larger and more diverse training samples, and the importance of understanding the underlying mechanisms of these black-box models are areas that future research should focus on. Furthermore, translating these models from research settings to real-world clinical environments will require rigorous validation. generalizability emphasising across diverse populations.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Latif, J., Tu, S., Xiao, C., Ur Rehman, S., Imran, A. and Latif, Y., 2022. ODGNet: a deep learning model for automated optic disc localisation and glaucoma classification using fundus images, SN Applied Sciences, 4(4), p.98.
- [2]. Thanki, R., 2023. A deep neural network and machine learning approach for retinal fundus image classification. Healthcare Analytics, 3, p.100140.
- [3]. Brown, A., Cousins, H., Cousins, C., Esquenazi, K., Elze, T., Harris, A., Filipowicz, A., Barna, L., Yonwook, K., Vinod, K. and Chadha, N., 2023. Deep learning for localised detection of optic disc haemorrhages. American Journal of Ophthalmology.
- [4]. Nawaz, M., Nazir, T., Javed, A., Tariq, U., Yong, H.S., Khan, M.A. and Cha, J., 2022.
 An efficient deep learning approach to automatic glaucoma detection using optic disc and optic cup localisation, Sensors, 22(2), p.434.
- [5]. Archana, E., Geetha, S. and George, G.V.S., 2023, January. Short Analysis of Machine Learning and Deep Learning Techniques Used for Glaucoma Detection. In 2023 5th International Conference on Smart Systems and Inventive Technology (ICSSIT) (pp. 968-975). IEEE.
- [6]. Alawad, M., Aljouie, A., Alamri, S., Alghamdi, M. Alabdulkader, B., Alkanhal, N. and Almazroa, A., 2022, Machine learning and deep learning techniques for optic disc and cup segmentation–a review. Clinical Ophthalmology, pp.747-764.
- [7]. Rasheed, H.A., Davis, T., Morales, E., Fei, Z.,

ISS	N: 2321-1156	www.ijirts.org	r	Volume 12 Issue 2, March 2024
[8].	Grassi, L., De Gainza, A., N	Nouri-Mahdavi,		Head for Glaucoma Diagnosis. American
	K. and Caprioli, J., 2023. R	imNet: A Deep		Journal of Ophthalmology, 250, pp.38-48.
	Neural Network Pipeline f	for Automated	[14].	Musthafa, N., 2023, July. Precise
	Identification of the Opt	ic Disc Rim.		Localisation of Optic Disc Region for
	Ophthalmology Science, $3(1)$, p.100244.		Accurate Glaucoma Diagnosis Using Deep
[9].	Zedan, M.J., Zulkifley, M	I.A., Ibrahim,		Reinforcement Learning. In 2023
	A.A., Moubark, A.M., Kama	ri, N.A.M. and		International Conference on Innovations in
	Abdani, S.R., 2023. Automa	ated Glaucoma		Engineering and Technology (ICIET) (pp.
	Screening and Diagnosis Ba	sed on Retinal		1-7). IEEE.
	Fundus Images Using D	Deep Learning	[15].	Vali, M., Mohammadi, M., Zarei, N.,
	Approaches: A Comprehensi	ve Review.		Samadi, M., Atapour-Abarghouei, A.,
	Diagnostics, 13(13), p.2180.			Supakontanasan, W., Suwan, Y.,
[10].	Charng, J., Alam, K.,	Swartz, G.,		Subramanian, P.S., Miller, N.R., Kafieh, R.
	Kugelman, J., Alonso-Caneir	ro, D., Mackey,		and Fard, M.A., 2023. Differentiating
	D.A. and Chen, F.K., 2023.	Deep learning:		Glaucomatous Optic Neuropathy From

- D.A. and Chen, F.K., 2023. Deep learning: applications in retinal and optic nerve diseases. Clinical and Experimental Optometry, 106(5), pp.466-475.
- R., Alipour, Κ., Bowd, С., [11]. Fan, Christopher, M., Brye, N., Proudfoot, J.A., Goldbaum, M.H., Belghith, A., Girkin, C.A., Fazio, M.A. and Liebmann, J.M., 2023. Detecting glaucoma from fundus photographs using deep learning without convolutions: Transformer for improved Ophthalmology generalisation. science, 3(1), p.100233.
- [12]. Panahi, A., Rezaee, A., Hajati, F., Shariflou, S., Agar, A. and Golzan, S.M., 2023. Autonomous assessment of spontaneous retinal venous pulsations in fundus videos using a deep learning framework. Scientific Reports, 13(1), p.14445.
- [13]. Braeu, F.A., Thiéry, A.H., Tun, T.A., Kadziauskiene, A., Barbastathis, G., Aung, T. and Girard, M.J., 2023. Geometric Deep Learning to Identify the Critical 3D Structural Features of the Optic Nerve

American Journal of Ophthalmology, 252, pp.1-8.
[16]. Thamilselvan, R., Natesan, P., Rajalaxmi, R.R., Mohan, S., Muruganantham, S. and Vanitha, R., 2023, January. An Elaborate Review of Diagnosing Glaucoma Disease Using Machine Learning and Deep Learning Techniques, In 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication

Optic

Learning

Neuropathies

Algorithms.

Nonglaucomatous

Deep

Using

[17]. Oguz, C., Aydin, T. and Yaganoglu, M., 2023. A CNN-based hybrid model to detect glaucoma disease. Multimedia Tools and Applications, pp.1-19.

and Informatics (ICCCI) (pp. 19). IEEE.

- [18]. Jumanto, J., Nugraha, F.W., Harjoko, A., Muslim, M.A. and Alabid, N.N., 2023. Mix histogram and grey level cooccurrence matrix to improve glaucoma prediction machine learning, Journal of Soft Computing Exploration, 4(1).
- [19]. Xu, Y., Meng, F., Yang, H., Lu, S., Wang,H. and Hu, M., 2023. E-Net: a novel deep learning framework integrating expert

ISS	N: 2321-1156	www.ijirts.org	,)	Volume 12 Issue 2, March 2024
	knowledge for glaucoma	optic disc		Computer Engineering (IJECE), 13(5),
	haemorrhage segmentation.	Multimedia		pp.5305-5313.
	Tools and Applications, pp.1-	18.	[26].	David, D.S., 2023. Enhanced glaucoma
[20].	Parkhi, P. and Hambarde	e, B., 2023.		detection using ensemble-based CNN and
	Optical cup and disc segme	ntation using		spatially based ellipse fitting curve model.
	deep learning technique f	or glaucoma		Journal of Ambient Intelligence and
	detection. International	Journal of		Humanized Computing, 14(4), pp.3303-
	NextGeneration Computing, 1	4(1).		3314.
[21].	Shoukat, A., Akbar, S., H	Hassan, S.A.,	[27].	Prananda, A.R., Frannita, E.L., Hutami,
	Iqbal, S., Mehmood, A. and	Ilyas, Q.M.,		A.H.T., Maarif, M.R., Fitriyani, N.L. and
	2023. Automatic Diagnosis	of Glaucoma		Syafrudin, M., 2022. Retinal Nerve Fiber
	from Retinal Images Using	Deep		Layer Analysis Using Deep Learning to
	Learning Approach. Diagno	pstics, 13(10),		Improve Glaucoma Detection in Eye
	p.1738.			Disease Assessment. Applied Sciences,
[22].	Chan, E., Tang, Z., N	Vajjar, R.P.,		13(1), p.37.
	Narayanaswamy, A., Sathia	anvichitr, K.,	[28].	Veena, H.N., Muruganandham, A. and
	Newman, N.J., Biousse, V., I	Milea, D. and		Kumaran, T.S., 2020. A Review of the
	BONSAI Group, 2023, A D	eep Learning		optic disc and optic cup segmentation and
	System for Automated Quali	ty Evaluation		classification approaches over retinal
	of Optic Disc Photograph	ns in Neuro		fundus images for detection of glaucoma.
	Ophthalmic Disorders, Diag	nostics $13(1)$,		SN Applied Sciences, 2, pp.1-15.
	p.160.		[29].	Liu, Y., Yip, L.W.L., Zheng, Y. and Wang,
[23].	Janani, R. and Rajamohana	a, S.P., 2021.		L., 2022. Glaucoma screening using an
	Early detection of glaucoma	a using optic		attention-guided stereo ensemble network.
	disc and optic cup segmentati	ion: A survey.		Methods, 202, pp.14-21.
	Materials Today: Proceedings	, 45, pp.2763-	[30].	Guergueb, T. and Akhloufi, M.A., 2023. A
	2769.			Review of Deep Learning Techniques for
[24].	Lamba, K. and Rani, S.,	2023, June.		Glaucoma Detection. SN Computer
	Machine Learning based Segn	nentation and		Science, 4(3), p.274.
	Classification Algorithms for	or Glaucoma	[31].	CH, M., 2023, February. Glaucoma
	Detection. In 2023	International		Detection using Convolution Neural
	Conference on Sustainable Co	omputing and		Networks. In 2023, the 7th International
	Smart Systems (ICSCSS) (pp. 291-296).		Conference on Computing Methodologies
	IEEE.			and Communication (ICCMC) (pp. 368-
[25].	Ahmed, M.T., Ahmed, I.,	Rakin, R.A.,		372). IEEE.
	Akter, M.T. and Jahan, M	N., 2023. An	[32].	Hemelings, R., Elen, B., Schuster, A.K.,
	effective deep learning	network for		Blaschko, M.B., Barbosa-Breda, J.,
	detecting and classifying glaue	comatous eye.		Hujanen, P., Junglas, A., Nickels, S.,
	International Journal of E	lectrical and		White, A., Pfeiffer, N. and Mitchell,

122	N: 2321-1150	www.ijirts.org		volume 12 Issue 2, March 2024
[33].	P., 2023. A generalisable	deep learning		syndrome mechanism-based dual-channe
	regression model for autom	ated glaucoma		network. Biomedical Signal Processing and
	screening from fundus image	es. NPJ Digital		Control, 86, p.105143.
	Medicine, $6(1)$, p.112.	[40	.[0]	Kitaguchi, Y., Hayakawa, R., Kawashima
[34].	Varma, N., Yadav, S. and Y	adav, J.K.P.S.,		R., Matsushita, K., Tanaka, H., Kawasaki
	2022. A Short Review	on Automatic		R., Fujino, T., Usui, S., Shimojyo, H.
	Detection of Glaucoma	Using Fundus		Okazaki, T. and Nishida, K., 2023. Deep-
	Image. Emerging Technolo	ogies in Data		learning approach to detect childhood
	Mining and Information	on Security:		glaucoma based on a periocular

pp.493-504. Hussain, R. and Basak, H., 2023. UT-Net: [35]. Combining U-Net and Transformer for Joint Optic Disc and Cup Segmentation and Glaucoma Detection. arXiv preprint

Proceedings of IEMIS 2022, Volume 2,

TOONT

[36]. Lenka, S., Lazarus, M.Z. and Behera, S.R., 2023. Glaucoma Detection Based on Specularity Removal Low-Rank Model from Retinal Fundus Images. ECTI Transactions on Computer and Information Technology (ECTI-CIT), 17(3), pp.330-342.

arXiv:2303.04939.

- [37]. Shan, J., Li, Z., Ma, P., Tun, T.A., Yonamine, S., Wu, Y., Baskaran, M., Nongpiur, M.E., Chen, D., Aung, T. and Li, S., 2023, Deep Learning Classification of Angle Closure based on Anterior Segment OCT. Ophthalmology Glaucoma.
- Elangovan, P., Vijayalakshmi, D. and [38].Nath, M.K., 2023. An improved approach for classifying glaucoma stages from colour fundus images using Efficientnet-b0 convolutional neural network and recurrent neural network in Computational Methods and Deep Learning for Ophthalmology (pp. 89-106). Academic Press.
- [39]. Fang, L. and Qiao, H., 2023. Glaucoma multiclassification using the novel

- d photograph. Scientific 13(1),Reports, p.10141.
- [41]. Selvathi, D., 2023. Classification of ocular diseases using transfer learning approaches glaucoma severity grading, In and Computational Methods and Deep Learning for Ophthalmology (pp. 1-15). Academic Press.
- [42]. Krishna, N. and Nagamani, K., 2023. MultiModal Imaging-Based Feature Fusion for Accurate Glaucoma Diagnosis with Deep Learning.
- [43]. Naidana, K.S. and Barpanda, S.S., 2023. Glaucoma classification using a polynomial given deep learning approach. Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, 12(4), pp.2245-2261.
- [44]. Muramatsu, C., 2020, Diagnosing glaucoma on retinal fundus images using deep learning: Detection of nerve fibre layer defect and optic disc analysis. Deep Learning in Medical Image
- [45]. Analysis: Challenges and Applications, pp.121132.
- [46]. Hasan, M.M., Phu, J., Sowmya, A., Meijering, E. and Kalloniatis, M., 2023. Artificial intelligence in the diagnosis of glaucoma and neurodegenerative diseases. Clinical and Experimental Optometry, pp.1-17.